

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/077,077	02/15/2002	John W. Haim	I-2-165.4US	5232
24374	7590 02/03/2004		EXAM	INER
VOLPE AND KOENIG, P.C.			CRAVER, CHARLES R	
DEPT. ICC				
UNITED PLAZA, SUITE 1600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
30 SOUTH 17TH STREET			2682	5
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			DATE MAILED: 02/03/0004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/077,077 Applicant(s)

Haim

Examiner

Charles Craver

Art Unit 2682

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
	or Reply			
THE N	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In date of this communication.	TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the		
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any rep	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the	nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. be application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	ion is non-final.		
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance ϵ closed in accordance with the practice under ϵx pa	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	tion of Claims			
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u>	is/are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u>	is/are rejected.		
	Claim(s)			
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
	tion Papers			
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)💢	The drawing(s) filed on Feb 15, 2002 is/are	a) 💢 accepted or b) 🗆 objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) [☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:			
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.		
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received in Application No		
	application from the International Bure			
_	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the			
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic			
a) ∟				
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. 33 120 and/or 121.		
Attachmo	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).		
~	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
3) 💢 lmfd	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)2	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/077077 Page 2

Art Unit: 2682

· ... * y ... *

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. Claims 1-8 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 3 and 11 of copending Application No. 09/904001. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other.

Claims 1 and 5: claim 11 of '001 discloses a transmitter such as that in present claim 1; using the transmitter in a UE or BS would have been an obvious step given that the transmitter if for transmitting user data, and further the compensation portion would not have changed the patentability with regards to the application of claim 11 of '001. Note that claim 3 of '001 corresponds to instant claims 3 and 7 for the same reasons. Claim 5 of '001 corresponds to instant claims 2 and 6, and claim 13 of '001 corresponds to instant claims 4 and 8.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

2. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Haim 944 discloses a similar system to application 09/904,001.

Nelson, Blessent, Malladi and Jo disclose means to control transmission power and varying transmission rates.

Art Unit: 2682

3. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 872-9314 (for informal or draft communications, please label

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal

Drive, Arlington VA, sixth floor (receptionist).

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles Craver whose telephone number is (703) 305-3965.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin, can be reached on (703) 305-4385.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

cc

C. Craver November 26, 2003 CHARLES CRAVER
PATENT EXAMINER